

Kūāotunu Residents and Ratepayers Association
Minutes of Committee Meeting – DRAFT FOR APPROVAL
held at Kūāotunu Hall
17th April 2024

Committee members: Steve Hart, Chair; Ian Preece, Deputy Chair, Mark Kilgour, Treasurer; Kevin Crawford, Minutes Secretary; Elisabeth Resl, Alastair Brickell, Marguerite Muellers, Kim Crosland

Meeting opened: 6:07pm

Present: Steve, Ian, Mark, Elisabeth, and Kevin (minutes) Quorum met: 4 required

Public: Isabel Gilbert–Palmer

Apologies : Alastair, Kim **Not present :** Marguerite

Public: Isabel Gilbert-Palmer arrived at 3 mins into the meeting and stated she wished to be an observer rather than having a specific presentation to make. Present for the full meeting.

1. Election of Officers

Kevin Crawford welcomed the new Committee members.

Post AGM (31 March) there was a brief meeting involving Ian Preece, Alastair Brickell, Kevin Crawford, Mark Kilgour and Elisabeth Resl. Discussion on roles and proposals for allocating the roles was held by email as briefing to the new Committee prior to the meeting. Proposal had been discussed to have a Chair and Deputy Chair due to day-to-day business commitments of potential nominees.

Election of Officers – Kim and Alastair had communicated by email they were comfortable with roles that people had indicated they were interested to be candidates.

Chair and Deputy Chair

Request for any other nominations for the Chair made by Steve Hart. There were none.

Steve Hart offered to be Chair and was endorsed by those present.

Ian Preece was proposed to be Deputy Chair and was endorsed by those present.

The concept of the dual positions was agreed as pragmatic and had the endorsement of Kim (via email) and Alastair (post AGM). Elisabeth noted her reservations on a “co-Chair” on the grounds of clarity as there needed to be one clear point of contact for outside organisations. It was agreed by the Committee and Elisabeth that the Chair be the first line of contact and that when a Deputy Chair needed to act instead of the Chair it needed to be in the spirit of the Chair and could not act independent of the Chair and not make an Executive call without consultation with the Chair.

The meeting agreed that these roles be held by Steve as Chair and Ian as Deputy Chair. Steve noted that any decision would be by the Executive Committee – Chair, Secretary and Treasurer if it were urgent but otherwise the entire Committee and a simple majority would prevail.

Steve noted the Constitution allows for 3 officers – Chair, Secretary and Treasurer as the Executive Committee. Steve noted a Deputy Chair role may require a Special General Meeting (SGM) to establish that role if there is any doubt about the status of the role.

Action: Steve - Deputy Chair status to be reviewed by Steve as to similar precedents.

Elisabeth noted that there should be only one Chair and agreed by all that there was only one casting vote – that of the Chair, Steve Hart as per the Constitution. Steve would be the first point of contact with TCDC, DOC, WRC, Ratepayers Alliance, other Ratepayers Associations, KERT and other organizations.

Secretary

Kevin Crawford nominated as Secretary and to take on the role to assist the Chair and Deputy Chair in the role as Stakeholder Liaison continuing existing involvement with TCDC and NZTA Waka Kotahi on current matters maintaining continuity of relationships and any matters nominated by the Committee. Kevin noted future special projects may be allocated to other committee members and a broader engagement of all Committee members (submission writing etc) sought. Steve confirmed that was his objective also.

Treasurer / Membership

Mark Kilgour was nominated as Treasurer. He will also be responsible for Membership fees etc. Mark is also the Treasurer for the Hall Committee, and the same Cashbook Complete system is used so a good synergy. Mail transactions with members via MailChimp software with initial support by Ian for new subscribers to be added etc. Mark's role to also include liaison with the Hall Committee.

Other Roles

Deputy Chair – Ian would be responsible for the KRRRA website and its update, the Arts and environment including liaison with KAMAG.

Action: Ian - Management of the KRRRA Facebook page to be reviewed by Ian with Kathy Speirs by next meeting. Similarly, any potential update of Website to be reviewed by Ian and Committee for next meeting. (Kevin noted Kuaotunu Dune Care needed adding for example and Rocketspark offer of offline assistance).

Correspondence – Elisabeth Resl – involving filing and supervision and KRRRA dropbox. Proposed all Committee members should have access and KRRRA addresses for immediacy of response under their responsibility with some way of annotating who is actioning- agreed. All Correspondence needs to be cc to krra@kuaotunu.nz The Chair to be aware of any outgoing correspondence and content.

Action: KRRRA Dropbox regime needs clarification and capacity problem resolved - **Ian** to review

Newsletter – Co-ordinator with the Happy Hub for the publication of Kuaotunu Community Newsletter. Kevin explained the role and the proposed interactions involved as set out in 11

March Minutes Public and Item 5.11. Elisabeth confirmed she was not prepared to do the role nor to write articles. Elisabeth believes this needs to be someone who knows Happy Local and has been on the Committee before.

Agreed as a result to defer nomination of this role until the next meeting. For interim arrangements refer to Item 5.9 for further detail on committee discussion.

2. Minutes:

Minutes of the previous committee meeting 11 March 2024 confirmed by Alastair and Kim and accepted as a true and accurate record. **moved:** Steve Hart **seconded:** Ian Preece **Passed**

3. Matters arising from the 11 March minutes:

Running through the Action Plan included in the 11 March minutes: (various items in Meeting involving detailed discussion are not detailed below - refer Section 5)

Pumphouse mural painting – Brent has called Roimata on state of completion and agreed not to further progress. \$150 costs to date to be covered by KRRA if necessary. Brent has reported back to Tanya Patrick TCDC the outcome of the meeting with Roimata and no artwork decision made. Possibility that either Ian Preece graphic or perimeter planting might be suitable. The new Committee will need to decide next step.

Kevin

- RFS Kūāotunu Stream bridge on SH25 at the junction and the broken intersection barriers have been repaired. No further action.
- Gabion Wall and large cracks in the side table east of the Quarry Point layby to be advised to Waka Kotahi by Kevin. **Post Meeting Note:** this has been recently inspected 26 March and added to critical infrastructure replacement list for the full gabion wall – timeframes to be advised. Refer Item 5.8
- Delay to Kūāotunu Hill repair discussed – dates pending awaiting contract award.
- Temporary speed to 50km / hr. permanent - discussion with Waka Kotahi – and actions see Item 5.3

3. Correspondence: (Elisabeth)

Correspondence is in Dropbox and covered by agenda items. No outstanding Items involved in In or out boxes. Email traffic from Peace Bianchi re Access for vehicles to Blackjack Reserve has been answered in that the Committee would not agree and TCDC would not allow such access to avoid damage to grass.

4. Financial Report since last report and as at 16 April 2024 (Mark)

Account balances \$12,024.07

Income: Membership fees received: \$250

Interest for period from 31 March 2024 \$45.63 Outgoings: \$500 (Kathy's farewell gift)

No outstanding creditors.

For information: No proposed expenditures requiring approval, the Fire Station jetty concrete stabilisation of foundation concrete post at a low spring tide - cost proposed to be paid by KRRRA – cost yet to come.

Membership: 204 paid for current Financial Year up from 195 (12 March 2024)

Financial report accepted, moved: Elisabeth **seconded:** Ian **Passed**

5. Items for Discussion:

5.1 AGM – Review of Minutes and issues / actions

Draft circulated to new Committee and key members of the old committee.

To be presented and discussed at the next AGM.

Moved by Alastair by email and seconder agreed to be nominated at next AGM.

Minutes have been completed – and could be accepted with minor typo changes – Kevin proposed these then be uploaded as draft to the KRRRA Website. **Agreed**

Brent requested that he be removed from Minutes distribution list.

5.2 TCDC Long Term Plan 23-24 – KRRRA submission

Background summary – (for full recent detail refer earlier 18 April, 8 June, 20 July 2023, 12 October, and 14 December 2023, 1 February and 11 March and AGM 2024 minutes)

Recent events:

Submission made by Kevin on behalf of KRRRA 6 April to TCDC with a request to be able to present in person at Hearings scheduled 1st and 2nd May. Ian noted he had made a personal submission and Steve suggested timing could be sequential so that Ian could support the KRRRA submission also. Noted there had been a presentation by Kevin at the AGM of highlights of the contents including KRRRA Decisions 1-5 and other feedback reflecting the October 2023 public consultation submission by KRRRA and the Community survey.

Elisabeth noted her concern that it would have been preferred that Committee members had made their additions in public at the AGM as there was material in the final submission not communicated to the AGM and added later (i.e not ticked off by the Community) and as a result she viewed it as a flawed democratic process.

Kevin asked what the items were and provided context of the limited time to present the detailed content at the AGM (the AGM minutes to be referred to). It was noted that the Dark Sky item noted by Elisabeth as an example had been added by Alastair and circulated to the old Committee and not to the new Committee due to time constraints. Other later items (after the AGM) added were based on the Community Survey and Community Plan submissions made July 2023. Kevin noted that the results of the survey gave in his view a certain franchise to add relevant points into the final version and not go back to the Community again due to time constraints. Elisabeth stated the Committee should not take the mandate from the Community survey to interpret a larger scope.

Kevin noted such a long form process to get further Community input beyond the AGM was not practical. He noted also that individuals could have made their own submissions and there had been earlier in the Newsletter Article advice on initial topic content in the KRRA submission.

Ian noted it was the Committee representing the Community to make the decisions on content aligned with items we have asked of our community and their survey feedback on, rather than issue documents again in the tight timeframe. Elisabeth accepted this principle.

Agreed that ideally all efforts to circulate additions to the Committee of the final document be made and Steve emphasised that all Committee members need to respond with their view in the timeframe nominated.

Action: Ian: the LTP Submission to be posted on the KRRA website and members notified via Mailchimp distribution or the Newsletter if imminent.

5.3 KRRA position on the Fast-Track Approvals Bill

Ian had prior circulated a paper to the Committee on the Fast-Track Approvals Bill its basis and the potential impact on the Community and included a recommendation for KRRA to submit against the bill on the basis that it lessened the democratic right to protect our community.

Martin Smith also presented at the AGM on its detail – refer 31 March AGM minutes.

Elisabeth expressed her view that the KRRA had no mandate to have a view on a national issue on behalf of the Community and noted Alastair had expressed a similar point of view in his email circulated to the Committee (responded to by Ian). She noted KRRA should stick to its core business of local issues and liaison with TCDC that other organisations can do this submission.

Ian disagreed stating that the provisions directly affected our communities 'ability to have a say directly or even via our Council (who could be consulted as part of the application) but were not required to be called by the expert panel or their views considered and with no right of appeal. The timing of the issue is unfortunate due to the short timeframe and submissions closing and the change in the Committee. The meeting tonight needs to decide. He noted the Bill applies to any development – subdivision, gold mine, timber mill, road.

Discussion ensued on the scope of the Bill as to whether it applies to Conservation Land. The Bill overrides Conservation Act which affects Conservation land and affects Schedule 4 land (north of Kopu Hikuai Road – post meeting note: refer FTB Clause 18(h) re ineligible projects and the exclusion of CI 12 and 13 in effect results in Schedule 4 land being an eligible project area).

Steve outlined his background in mining sector and his view that this was a significant threat like earlier issues because vulnerable land in Coromandel was significantly different from Australia. He stated that our Council will have limited input under the FTB to any proposal and our community has said to KRRA in 1997 that 93 % did not want mining in the areas / 2023 - 95%.

KRRA has also supported KAMAG (stated at the AGM by the Chair) and as a Committee Steve believed we are obliged to act on behalf of most of the residents and take on board what the community has told us in surveys.

Ian noted a survey prior to the Community Plan 2020-2030 the survey stated 96% against mining. He noted it's not just Conservation land – most of the land around Kūāotunu is mostly not Conservation land – the issue is the RMA as well – rural and coastal land or any development - we would not see any of the proposals and the Council limited review and no right to be heard by the panel or right of appeal.

Elisabeth noted her view was that it was a very bad bill and had personally submitted but KRRA should not however be making a submission in the name of the Ratepayers. As she was also a member of KRRA (different from her role in the Committee) she would not be happy that KRRA submits on her behalf. Other organisations could make submissions and she noted other organisations always circulate them to all their members.

Kevin noted his views about the risks of the minimal requirements for a developer to consult with Council) but were not required to be called by the expert panel or their views considered and with no right of appeal.

Ian stated the current Fast Track process is to make decisions faster but follow due process (NBEA). This FTB will not follow due process and no due diligence.

Ian's Recommendation previously circulated to the Committee:

1. That the KRRA, on behalf of the Community, complete a submission stating its objection to the Fast Track Bill based on the criteria (listed in the attached paper presented to the Committee). If there is a requirement to fast-track decisions then the process should stick to that and not avoid due process.
2. That we send a Letter to Scott Simpson stating our objection and asking he confirms his position with respect to the Bill and request that he votes in the interests of his electorate by standing against the Bill in Parliament.

The Committee resolved after the above discussion to address Ian's recommendation.

Steve proposed we remove the words "on behalf of the community" in Resolution 1 as it implies all of the community and recognised that the c7% may not agree with KRRA stance. Elisabeth noted that was acceptable.

Kevin requested clarification of the content of the submission - Ian suggested we resolve not to see any reduced engagement in the democratic process in decisions being made that affect our community. Kevin believed we should have the 2023 survey (93%) stated as a key plank in our submission and that the KRRA had in 1997 confirmed a position on anti-mining and this is a new challenge and this issue needs to make a clear stand to refresh and reaffirm KRRA position.

Steve noted that if there were a development that was adverse KRRR by not making a stance could be seen as not acting when it could have.

Mark's view was sought by the Chair – Mark agreed we can reasonably link the Community survey view to what the Community would want us to do. There is a short window of opportunity and KRRR should take it. He does not believe there would be pushback from the community for our position and there need not be a show of hands on every issue – we are elected to represent the Community.

Resolution 1

That the KRRR complete a submission stating its objection to the Fast Track Bill based on the criteria (listed in the attached paper presented to the Committee – the objectives of the survey to be included in the submission).

The submission should be linked to the survey results, KRRR does not wish to see any weakening or lack of engagement in the democratic process for any infrastructure or development projects that negatively impact those priorities set by the community.

Resolution 2

That the KRRR send a submission to Scott Simpson Coromandel MP using the same submission as above but adding that he represents our interests in Parliament

Steve proposed that a vote now be taken and the Committee were in agreement.

A vote was taken - 4 in support / 2 not in support for each of Resolution 1 and 2

Action: Ian to prepare material for the Fast Track Bill formal submission and upload by midnight 19 April and forward a copy to Scott Simpson Coromandel MP as per Resolution 2

5.4 Proposal for RRA Alliance advocacy in reigniting Community Democracy

Background summary – (for full recent detail refer 14 December 2023 and 11 March 2024 minutes)

Kevin briefly backgrounded the Alliance for new Committee members and noted recent events. The first meeting agreed on the formation of an Alliance – unanimously to approach TCDC and request a meeting to deliver a resolution (by Rob Boston Whangamata RRA chair) on governance and community engagement and bringing fiscal and operational action responsibility back to Community Board level as a way of progressing Community initiatives. Recommendation is to repower the Community Boards or disband them (currently they cost \$3m p.a).

The meeting on 21 March in Thames with Mayor and all Councilors and Alliance RRA Chairs was held before the TCDC LTP briefing at 1030am – 1240pm an interactive on the LTP. KRRR Brent Page and Kevin Crawford attended and Brent spoke in conjunction with Rob Boston's

presentation citing the lack of consultation with RRA and the lack of Community Board engagement and recognition of community wishes in the LTP.

Steve confirmed he was committed to KRRRA continued membership and noted it important KRRRA does not lose its identity by being included in Alliance. Kevin noted Alliance to only address District wide generic issues and did not reduce itself to Community specific issues.

Rob Boston keen to have another Alliance meeting before May 17 when he goes overseas as to next steps – **Post Meeting Note:** This is scheduled for Saturday 11 May in Whitianga 10-12pm at MBCB meeting room – Steve Hart to go with another Committee member if available – Kevin proposing to go with Steve as agenda is likely to cover LTP issues.

Other notes

- Notes from the Alliance Chair of the meeting are in Correspondence 28 March.
- Notes from the 21 March meeting at TCDC Thames are in the 17 April Correspondence folder.
- Thames Community Board Chair attended March meeting and Thames not in Alliance but agreed to be part of the Alliance to represent Thames Ratepayers

Post meeting Note: The Representation consultation document by TCDC seeking feedback on Community Boards and Māori Wards – closed 31 March was not responded to by KRRRA. Previously agreed that it was best that individuals respond rather than KRRRA given the Community Survey questions and that KRRRA may not have clear franchise from the Community to answer all questions on their behalf and the tight timeframe.

5.5 Road Safety -SH 25 Waitaia / Cemetery Road to SH25 Bluff Road & Hilldale Junctions Background summary – (for full recent detail refer 2022 Minutes, 24 Jan, 7 Mar, 18 April, 8 June, 20 July, 12 October 2023, 14 December 2023, and 1 February and 11 March 2024 and AGM Minutes)

Kevin noted at the AGM the new government's repeal of lowering speed restrictions for productivity reasons may put the current reductions at risk especially now that SH25A is open. Kevin noted that Waka Kotahi had done a full audit of road speeds around the Peninsula and had made safety decisions on speed as well as higher traffic loads on some sections (but most of these here were not productivity decisions).

The previous Committee had agreed important that KRRRA should at least take the opportunity to re-iterate our community safety position and request the 50km/hr be retained (Hilldale to the Village) and advocate again for Waitaia Rd / Cemetery Lane to the village for 50km/hr.

Kevin reported he had contacted Andrew Wharekawa-Smith Waka Kotahi regarding making the temporary speed limit permanent. However, this speed limit change is only Temporary and now that SH25A is complete and other SH25 recovery work underway, the threat is the new Government's direction to agencies and local councils to restore reduced limits back "if it is safe to do so". It is likely to result in a return to 70km/hr. In our situation it is certainly not safe to do so and given our long campaign for speed reduction on SH25 through our community, making

all our voices heard is key to making this 50km/hr permanent and reducing Waitaia / Cemetery Road to the village to 50km/hr.

We have been advised to make submissions via an email as soon as possible to speedmanagement@nzta.govt.nz during the Ministry of Transport review of the Speed Limit Rules to inform NZTA Waikato submission and that strong community feedback will be a key consideration. Advice was that Waka Kotahi are making submissions to the MoT based on safety.

Draft Newsletter article provided by Kevin to Committee. Steve suggested we reduce content and post on Facebook page, Kuaotunu Katchup and circulate to members.

Ian noted he was making a personal LTP submission on speed cameras for enforcement.

Other avenues we are advised are via Waikato Regional Transport Plan (submissions closed 4 March) but advised to approach Coromandel Councillor John Morrissey. Submission to the Draft Government policy statement (GPS) (Land Transport) GPS submission closed 4 April and KRRRA did not make a submission due to tight timeframe.

Agreed **Kevin** to also make the Waikato Regional Transport Plan submissions via John Morrissey.

5.6 Village Traffic Calming – Update

Background summary – (for full recent detail refer 2022 & 24 Jan, 7 Mar, 18 Apr, 8 Jun, 20 July, and 12 October, 14 December 2023, and 1 February and 11 March 2024 Minutes)

Recent Progress:

Deli Connell at the AGM noted there appeared to be a small budget availability via Mohammed Imitiaz for roundels and gateway signage. Graphics of the sign important to show our Arts profile for the village rather than use a standard sign. Kevin described the graphic proposal.

Action: Steve Hart to review minutes / graphics concept and then follow-up with Deli Connell

5.7 Matarangi – Kūaotunu Connectivity - Bluff Road Suspension Bridge progress update

Background summary – (for full recent detail refer 2022 & 24 Jan, 7 March, 18 April, 20 July and 12 October 2023 and 14 December 2023 and 1 February and 11 March 2024 Minutes)

Recent Progress:

- Report on Geotechnical risk for the 7 slips and an Active anchored Rock Mesh facing to the rockface by ENGEO has been issued by TCDC and was on the Agenda as Item 3.3 of the MBCB meeting 17 April. The cost of this Option 1 is \$ 2.26m excl design fees project management, and a contingency of only 15% - too small given concept level of design.
- This was one component of one solution and included the rest of the connection road slips but did not address the suspension bridge option at all.
- Kevin noted there was no item in the LTP and no new investment into new projects for footpaths or cycleways stated in LTP Transport Framework assumptions.
- Mark Bedford as Chair MRAI asked Kevin to present at the Public Forum on behalf of MRAI / KRRRA of the MCBC meeting and a written email submission to Community Board

members and Heather Bruce was made prior to the presentation (included in Correspondence)

- Kevin described the presentation and the Mayor's presence and the opportunity to discuss the suspension bridge option further achieved via Rekha Giri-Percival's question of discussing durability of the two options and that suspension bridges were often built in such exposed marine environments with stainless steel componentry.
- Kevin also described the Mayor stating he had now visited the site and noted its beauty and his surprise at the number of people using the track given it was closed
- We have asked for a meeting with Lorenzo Canal of Urban Solutions currently seconded to TCDC as the Acting Capital Works Manager to explain the next steps in assessing options.
- Elisabeth noted there was no capital expenditure on the project in the LTP and questioned the wisdom of prioritizing this project over safe footpaths within our community (80 children walking to the school bus from Waitaia / Pumpkin Flat area). She expressed a concern that project also involved 6 slips and TCDC unlikely to fund the link in her view.
- Kevin noted the commitments of the Mayor and CEO Aileen Lawrie in reconnecting the communities – and noted it is both projects not one or the other and that Matarangi Community Trust has a fund and interested to co-fund the project and that is why we have MRAI leading.
- Kevin noted his concern MRAI had not advocated proactively and he was prepared to work to advance the situation and MRAI support that. Steve noted he agreed with that. Kevin noted he had the same passion for footpath safety.
- Ian and Steve noted we push both until given a choice between safe footpaths or link between Kūāotunu and Matarangi and agreed we were a long way from that point.

5.8 Post Cyclone Remedial Work – Update

Background summary– (full detail refer: 8 June, 20 July, 12 October, 14 December 2023, and 1 February and 11 March 2024 minutes)

- Gabion wall SH25 - Kevin noted the gabion wall just to the east of the layby between Bluff and Quarry Point boat ramp has significant cracks in the road shoulder and has failed at the base. There could be an opportunity for a footpath on top in its reconstruction by getting TCDC and Waka Kotahi working together.
- Cuvier Crescent road surface bumps noted by Marguerite as a concern for many residents – progress has been made on a culvert repair and TCDC have said road reconstruction is scheduled for 2025.

5.9 Newsletter Production

Background summary– (full detail refer: 11 March 2024 minutes – Public Forum and Item 5.11)

The future process outlined by Kevin and role detailed. The new Committee needs to appoint a Newsletter content liaison, final reviewer, Kevin detailed the role.

Steve noted each KRRRA items needs to have an author drawn from the Committee for particular points of advocacy / news and we were therefore still looking for that liaison / reviewer role to be

filled. Kevin noted it will become urgent driven by Courtney's wish to publish a first edition and we also have messages such as SH25 speed management to go out.

Post meeting note: Courtney leaving Kuaotunu for USA based study late May – Kim has contacted Courtney and established how the publication can go forward – Courtney will still be our contact online – and production via Danni Bear
Alastair has confirmed his interest in being the coordinator with Courtney and Danni Bear

5.10 Upcoming Meetings / KRRR attendance

Next MBCB meeting will be 12 June 2024

TCDC LTP Hearings – 1 & 2 May

TCDC Full Council meetings – 30 April, 28 May 2024.

Ratepayers Alliance – 11 May 10-12pm Whitianga MBCB room

Mercury Bay North Community Chairs – 17 July 9-1030am Whitianga MBCB room

6. Any other business:

6.1 Next Newsletter

Items for subjects for the next issue discussed as:

- Profile of new members (Steve)
- SH25 Speed Management Submissions (Kevin to rewrite)
- Other items – members to nominate via email to all.

6.2 Signatories for the KRRR bank account

Mark or Steve to identify via Carrie and Kathy who has it whilst he was away. Kathy in the absence of Carrie and Alastair.

6.3 Roadside Cleanup

Steve to contact Nedika Radokovich given her interest at the AGM about timing of the roadside cleanup and give Heather Bruce 3 weeks 'notice for material to be dropped at the tip for free.

Watching brief:

- Point to point speed cameras request of Waka Kotahi
- Next Roadside cleanup – propose a post Easter event.
- Boat ramp Rock revetment upgrade / rock replacement
- Tennis Court crack repair and overlay (LTP item)
- Pumphouse artwork installation
- Regulation Change KRRR to re-register as Incorporated Society

Next meeting:

Thursday 6 June 2024 – 6pm at the Hall

Mark back c 3 June

Meeting closed: 7:55pm

ACTION SUMMARY

who	what	done?
Steve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contact Rob Boston Alliance re next meeting 11 May. • Deputy Chair status to be reviewed as to similar precedents e.g Whitianga RRA.and if may require a Special General Meeting (SGM) is required. • Village entrance – review minutes and Graphics options for the village entrance (Item 5.6) and contact Deli Connell re budget and progress of Mohammed Imitiaz for the sign and 30km/ hr roundels. • Contact Nedika Radokovich re Roadside Cleanup timing and then Heather Bruce 3 Weeks in advance for tip access (Item 6.3) • Clarify current Bank account signatories with Carrie/ Kathy – Carrie, Kathy and Alastair are likely (Item 6.2) 	
Ian	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post AGM Draft minutes to the website. • Upload KRRRA LTP submission to the website. • Ian to prepare material for the Fast Track Bill formal submission and upload by 19 April. (Item 5.3) • Forward a copy to Scott Simpson Coromandel MP • Upload Fast Track Bill submission to the website. Members notified via Mailchimp distribution once done. • KRRRA Dropbox regime needs clarification and capacity problem resolved. • Review currency of Website and proposals to update. • KRRRA Facebook page to be reviewed by Ian with Kathy 	
Kevin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow up re Gabion wall crack to west of Quarry Point layby on SH25 re actual priority (item 5.8) • Follow-up with a reply to Waka Kotahi on Kuaotunu Hill • Rewrite email call for action to members and send submission Waka Kotahi re temporary speed signs being made permanent to speedmanagement@nzta.govt.nz being made permanent / reducing Waitaia to the Village to 50km/hr. (Item 5.5) • Contact John Morrissey Coromandel Councilor and write to him on Speed Management to be included in Waikato RLTP submission (Item 5.5) • Follow up with Mark Bedford and meeting proposed 8 May with Lorenzo Canal re suspension bridge progress - Geotech report, capex inclusion in the LTP (Item 5.7) 	
All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newsletter – potential Items additional to list in Item 6.1 • Review currency of the Website and proposals to update 	
Alastair /Kim	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newsletter – Alastair confirmed interest post meeting. • Kim to establish situation with Courtney leaving Kūaotunu and contact arrangements / roles as a result 	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>